

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No.2019-KH-01615

VS.

MARLO CHARLES

IN RE: Marlo Charles - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Terrebonne, 32nd Judicial District Court Number(s) 345943, Court of
Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2019KW0757;

July 24, 2020

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JTG

BJJ

JDH


SJC

WJC

JHB

Weimer, J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
July 24, 2020



Clerk of Court
For the Court

07/24/20

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 19-KH-1615

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

MARLO CHARLES

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE THIRTY-SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF TERREBONNE**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and applicant fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Applicant has now fully litigated several applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.