

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No.2019-KH-01947

VS.

REGIS RE'MONE CHATMAN

IN RE: Regis Re'Mone Chatman - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of St. Tammany, 22nd Judicial District Court Number(s) 538631, Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2019 KW 0810;

January 12, 2021

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JLW

JDH

SJC


JTG

WJC

JBM

PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
January 12, 2021



Clerk of Court
For the Court

01/12/21

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 19-KH-1947

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

REGIS RE'MONE CHATMAN

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claims, applicant fails to satisfy his post-conviction burden of proof. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.2. The application is also repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.