

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

IN RE: MARY LEE HOLMES

No.2020-B-00606


IN RE: Office of Disciplinary Counsel - Applicant Other; Mary Lee Holmes -
Applicant Other; Joint Petition for Consent Discipline;

July 02, 2020

Joint petition for consent discipline accepted. See per curiam.

BJJ
JLW
JDH
SJC
JTG
WJC
JHB

Supreme Court of Louisiana
July 02, 2020



Clerk of Court
For the Court

07/02/20

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2020-B-0606

IN RE: MARY LEE HOLMES

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

PER CURIAM

Respondent is licensed to practice law only in Mississippi; however, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (“ODC”) asserts jurisdiction over her in this matter pursuant to Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 6(A) and Rule 8.5 of the Rules of Professional Conduct, which together extend this court’s disciplinary authority to lawyers who provide or offer to provide legal services in Louisiana.

Respondent and the ODC submitted a joint petition for consent discipline, in which respondent acknowledges that she assumed the representation of a criminal defendant in Louisiana and appeared on his behalf in a Louisiana court without first seeking *pro hac vice* admission. Having reviewed the petition,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Consent Discipline be accepted and that Mary Lee Holmes be and she hereby is publicly reprimanded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs and expenses in the matter are assessed against respondent in accordance with Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 10.1, with legal interest to commence thirty days from the date of finality of this court’s judgment until paid.