

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No.2020-KH-00174

VS.

ABRAM HOPKINS


IN RE: Abram Hopkins - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of East Baton Rouge, 19th Judicial District Court Number(s) 01-11-0101,
Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2019 KW 1323;

September 08, 2020

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JLW
BJJ
JDH
SJC
JTG
WJC
JHB

Supreme Court of Louisiana
September 08, 2020



Clerk of Court
For the Court

09/08/20

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 20-KH-0174

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

ABRAM HOPKINS

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and applicant fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.