

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

ROY J. DICKERSON

No.2021-KH-00025

VS.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

IN RE: Roy J. Dickerson - Applicant Plaintiff; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Jefferson, 24th Judicial District Court Number(s) 99-3181, Court of
Appeal, Fifth Circuit, Number(s) 20-KH-293;

April 13, 2021

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

SJC

JLW

JTG

WJC

JBM

PDG

Hughes, J., would grant.

Supreme Court of Louisiana

April 13, 2021



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

04/13/21

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 21-KH-0025

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

ROY J. DICKERSON

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant does not identify an illegal term in his sentence, and therefore, his filing is properly construed as an application for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Parker*, 98-0256 (La. 5/8/98), 711 So.2d 694. As such, it is subject to the time limitation set forth in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and applicant fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Applicant has now fully litigated several applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a

successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.