

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No.2021-KH-00416

VS.

OLIVER MAIZE

-----

IN RE: Oliver Maize - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of Terrebonne, 32nd Judicial District Court Number(s) 186-408, Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2020 KW 1346;

-----

**June 08, 2021**

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

PDG

JDH

SJC

JTG


WJC

JBM

Weimer, C. J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana

June 08, 2021



Second Deputy Clerk of Court  
For the Court

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 21-KH-0416**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**v.**

**OLIVER MAISE**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE THIRTY-SECOND  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF TERREBONNE**

**PER CURIAM: \***

Denied. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and applicant fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.