

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2021-KH-01263

VS.

OLLIE COLEMAN


IN RE: Ollie Coleman - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of St. James, 23rd Judicial District Court Number(s) 7267, Court of Appeal,
Fifth Circuit, Number(s) 21-KH-244;

January 26, 2022

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JTG
JLW
JDH
SJC
WJC
JBM
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
January 26, 2022



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 21-KH-1263

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

OLLIE COLEMAN

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ASCENSION**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.