

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2021-KH-01556

VS.

ANTONIO BOYD

-----

IN RE: Antonio Boyd - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of Rapides, 9th Judicial District Court Number(s) 328056, Court of Appeal, Third Circuit, Number(s) KH 20-00490;


-----

January 19, 2022

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JDH  
JLW  
SJC  
JTG  
WJC  
JBM  
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana  
January 19, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court  
For the Court

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 21-KH-1556**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**v.**

**ANTONIO BOYD**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE NINTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF RAPIDES**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he was denied the effective assistance of counsel during plea negotiations under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.