

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE EX REL. COREY MILLER

No. 2021-KP-00305

VS.

STATE OF LOUISIANA


IN RE: Corey Miller - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of Jefferson, 24th Judicial District Court Number(s) 02-404, Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit, Number(s) 20-KH-322;

October 05, 2021

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JB
ML
JLW
JDH
SJC
JTG
WJC
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
October 05, 2021



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 21-KP-0305

STATE EX REL. COREY MILLER

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). In addition, applicant fails to show the state withheld material exculpatory evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963).

Applicant has now fully litigated two applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the Legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral

review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.