

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2021-KP-00804

VS.

SIDNEY KRISTOPHER SMITH


IN RE: Sidney Kristopher Smith - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of St. Charles, 29th Judicial District Court Number(s) 15,184, Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit, Number(s) 21-KH-126;

November 03, 2021

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JTG
JLW
JDH
SJC
WJC
JBM
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
November 03, 2021



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 21-KP-0804

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

SIDNEY KRISTOPHER SMITH

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. CHARLES**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of appellate counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.