

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2021-KP-00979

VS.

LANCE GRANDISON

-----

IN RE: Lance Grandison - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,  
Parish of Washington, 22nd Judicial District Court Number(s) 16-CR6-133262,  
Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2021 KW 0283;


-----

November 03, 2021

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

WJC  
JLW  
JDH  
SJC  
JTG  
JBM  
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana  
November 03, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court  
For the Court

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 21-KP-0979**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**v.**

**LANCE GRANDISON**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-SECOND  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF WASHINGTON**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.