

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2021-KP-01370

VS.

WILFORD B. VANGURE
A/K/A WILFRED VANGURE
A/K/A WILFRED B. VANGURE

IN RE: Wilford B. Vangure - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Lafayette, 15th Judicial District Court Number(s) 121534, 122085, Court
of Appeal, Third Circuit, Number(s) KW 19-00458;

March 15, 2022

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JBM
JLW
JDH
SJC
JTG
WJC

Griffin, J., would grant in part.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
March 15, 2022


Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

03/15/2022

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 21-KP-1370

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

WILFORD. B. VANGURE, ET AL

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF LAFAYETTE**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). Applicant also fails to show that the state withheld material exculpatory evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.