

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

**GEORGE RAYMOND WILLIAMS, M.D.,
ORTHOPEDIC SURGERY, A PROFESSIONAL
MEDICAL LLC, ET AL.**

No. 2022-C-00113

VS.

BESTCOMP, INC., ET AL.

IN RE: Chartis Specialty Insurance Company - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Writ Of Certiorari, Parish of St. Landry, 27th Judicial District Court Number(s) 09-C-5242-A, Court of Appeal, Third Circuit, Number(s) 20-106;


April 26, 2022

Writ application granted - see briefing notice and Order.

WJC
JLW
JDH
SJC
JBM
PDG

Genovese, J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
April 26, 2022



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court



Supreme Court
STATE OF LOUISIANA
New Orleans

CHIEF JUSTICE

JOHN L. WEIMER

JUSTICES

WILLIAM J. CRAIN

SCOTT J. CRICHTON

JAMES T. GENOVESE

JAY B. MCCALLUM

JEFFERSON D. HUGHES III

PIPER D. GRIFFIN

Sixth District

First District

Second District

Third District

Fourth District

Fifth District

Seventh District

VERONICA O. KOCLANES

CLERK OF COURT

400 Royal St., Suite 4200

NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130-8102

TELEPHONE (504) 310-2300

HOME PAGE <http://www.lasc.org>

April 26, 2022

2022-C-00113

GEORGE RAYMOND WILLIAMS, M.D., ET AL. VS.
BESTCOMP, INC., ET AL.

NOTE: FOR BRIEFING PURPOSES WRIT GRANTED April 26, 2022

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL IN CAPTIONED CASE:

Please be advised that the above-captioned matter will be set for oral argument on this Court's next available docket. A copy of the official docket with the specific date and time of oral argument will be mailed out.

Please note Section 8 of Rule VII of the Rules of this Court provides that the applicant or relator, as the case may be, must file their briefs on or before May 23, 2022; and the respondent on or before June 10, 2022.


Oral argument will be waived if brief is untimely filed. Briefs submitted on legal sized paper should be fastened at the top. All briefs should be backed with the customary "Blueback" or other flexible material. In criminal proceedings, the court directs that counsel must file a brief. Failure to do so may subject counsel to a penalty of contempt of Court.

It is further provided in Rule VII, Section 9 that briefs sent through the mail shall be deemed timely filed if mailed on or before the due date. If the brief is received by mail on the first legal day following the expiration of the delay, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that it was timely filed. In all cases where the presumption does not apply, the timeliness of the mailing shall be shown only by an official United States postmark or by official receipt or certificate from the United States Postal Service, or bona fide commercial mail services such as Federal Express or United Parcel Service, made at the time of mailing which indicates the date thereof. Any other date stamp, such as a private commercial mail meter stamp, or label from an Automated Postal Center, shall not be used to establish timeliness.

Briefs forwarded by private delivery or courier service shall be deemed timely filed only if received by the clerk on or before the last day of the delay for filing.

With kindest regards, I remain,

Very truly yours,


Ryan Chan
Deputy Clerk

RC: RC

ccs: All Counsel

Hon. James P. Doherty, Jr.

Hon. Charles J. Jagneaux

Hon. Renee R. Simien

27th Judicial District Court: 09-C-5242-A

Court of Appeal, Third Circuit: 20-106

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 2022-C-00113

**GEORGE RAYMOND WILLIAMS, M.D., ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY,
A PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL LLC, ET AL.**

VERSUS

BESTCOMP, INC., ET AL.

On Writ of Certiorari and/or Review
Twenty-Seventh Judicial District Court, Parish of St. Landry, No. 09-C-5242-A;
Court of Appeal, Third Circuit, No. 20-106.

And, whereas, the Court has this date, pursuant to Article 5, Section 5, of the Constitution of Louisiana, made and issued the following order, to wit— “It is ordered that the writ of review issue; that the District Court and the Court of Appeal send up the record in Duplicate of the case; and that counsel for all parties be notified.”

Now, therefore, the said District Court and the Court of Appeal is hereby commanded, in the name of the State of Louisiana and of this Honorable Court, to send up forthwith to this Court, in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 1, at the City of New Orleans, the record in duplicate of the above-entitled case.

Witness the Honorable Justices of the
Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, on
this 26th day of April, in the year of our
Lord, Two Thousand Twenty-Two.

Veronica O. Koclanes
Clerk of Court



Deputy Clerk of Court