

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

SUCCESSION OF LUCILLE WATTS PATIN,
PAMELA SUE PATIN QUANTZ, CHARLEEN
PATIN TAYLOR, REBEL KELLEY
CAPLINGER, DENIS CURTIN KELLEY, II,
DORCAS MARIE KELLEY, KERRY WATTS
KELLEY, AND PATIN-KELLEY, LLC

No. 2022-C-01116

VS.

BREAZEALE, SACHSE & WILSON, L.L.P.,
DAVID M. CHARLTON, AND XYZ INSURANCE
COMPANY ("XYZ")


IN RE: Breazeale, Sachse & Wilson, L.L.P. - Applicant Defendant; David M. Charlton - Applicant Defendant; Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company - Applicant Defendant; Scottsdale Insurance Company - Applicant Defendant; Aspen Specialty Insurance - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Writ Of Certiorari, Parish of East Baton Rouge, 19th Judicial District Court Number(s) 666011, Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2021 CA 0561;

November 01, 2022

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JLW
SJC
JTG
WJC
JBM

Hughes, J., would grant.
Griffin, J., would grant.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
November 01, 2022


Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 2022-C-01116

SUCCESSION OF LUCILLE WATTS PATIN, ET AL.

VS.

BRAZEALE, SACHSE & WILSON, L.L.P., ET AL.

On Writ of Certiorari to the Court of Appeal, First Circuit

PER CURIAM

Relators seek review of the court of appeal’s May 9, 2022 judgment, which reversed the district court’s January 11, 2021 judgment granting Relators’ exception of no cause of action and dismissing the legal malpractice claims asserted by Respondents. The writ application is denied.¹

¹ See **Dodson & Hooks, APLC v. Louisiana Community Development Capital Fund, Inc.**, 2020-01002 (La. 2/17/21), 310 So.3d 553 (*per curiam*) (although trial of the exception of no cause of action requires the court to review the petition and accept well-pleaded allegations of fact as true for the purposes of the exception, in finding that the face of the petition sufficiently states a cause of action, the court is not expressing an opinion on the merits of the cause of action).