

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2022-KH-01598

VS.

SCOTT E. HOUSTON

IN RE: Scott E. Houston - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of West Feliciana, 20th Judicial District Court Number(s) 20-WCR-399,
Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2022 KW 0627;

March 28, 2023

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JDH
JLW
JTG
WJC
PDG

Crichton, J., recused.
McCallum, J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
March 28, 2023


Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 22-KH-1598

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

SCOTT E. HOUSTON

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF WEST FELICIANA**

PER CURIAM:

Writ denied. Applicant was found guilty as charged of armed robbery, La.R.S. 14:64, after a bench trial conducted in the First Judicial District Court. While the trial court initially misstated that it was finding applicant guilty of armed robbery committed with a firearm, that misstatement was later clarified. The trial court sentenced applicant for armed robbery as a habitual offender (second) to serve 60 years imprisonment at hard labor without parole eligibility. The court of appeal affirmed. *State v. Houston*, 50,126, 50,127 (La. App. 2 Cir. 11/18/15), 181 So.3d 188. Applicant exhausted his right to state collateral review. *State v. Houston*, 2019-00727 (La. 8/14/20), 300 So.3d 822.

This court previously ordered that the district court minutes and commitment order be corrected to eliminate any conflict with the relevant transcripts (dated May 15, 2014, and July 2, 2014). *State v. Houston*, 2022-01403 (La. 11/1/22), 348 So.3d 1273. Therefore, the claim presented by applicant to the Twentieth Judicial District Court is moot. Nonetheless, we note that the ruling of that court contains the misstatement (based on errors since corrected) that applicant was found guilty of armed robbery committed with a firearm, La.R.S. 14:64, La.R.S. 14:64.3.

Regardless, applicant's claim, in addition to being moot, is repetitive. *See, e.g., State v. Houston*, 2021-01988 (La. 4/5/22), 335 So.3d 252. Therefore, it was correctly denied.