

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2022-KP-00389

VS.

TERRY MATTHEW PITTMAN

IN RE: Terry Matthew Pittman - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of Caddo, 1st Judicial District Court Number(s) 323,055, Court of Appeal, Second Circuit, Number(s) 54,476-KW;


April 20, 2022

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

SJC
JLW
JTG
WJC
JBM
PDG

Hughes, J., would grant in part.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
April 20, 2022



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

April 20, 2022

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 22-KP-0389

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

TERRY MATTHEW PITTMAN

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CADDO**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claims, he fails to meet his post-conviction burden of proof and/or the claims are repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. arts. 930.2; 930.4.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.