

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2023-KH-00799

VS.

MARQUISE JAMAL JONES

IN RE: Marquise J. Jones - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Caddo, 1st Judicial District Court Number(s) 345,115, Court of Appeal,
Second Circuit, Number(s) 55,246-KH;

November 21, 2023

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JDH
JLW
SJC
JTG
WJC
JBM
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
November 21, 2023

Katio Marjanovic
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

11/21/2023

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 23-KH-0799

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

MARQUISE JAMAL JONES

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CADDO**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claim, it is not cognizable on collateral review. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.3; *State ex rel. Melinie v. State*, 93-1380 (La. 1/12/96), 665 So.2d 1172. It is also repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(A).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.