

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2023-KH-01363

VS.

WILLIAM MICHALE HAYDEN

-----  
IN RE: William Michale Hayden - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of East Baton Rouge, 19th Judicial District Court Number(s) 12-14-0657, Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2023 KW 0684;  
-----

February 27, 2024

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

PDG  
JLW  
JDH  
SJC  
JTG  
WJC  
JBM

Supreme Court of Louisiana  
February 27, 2024

Katie Marjanovic  
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court  
For the Court

**SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA**

**No. 23-KH-1363**

**STATE OF LOUISIANA**

**v.**

**WILLIAM MICHAEL HAYDEN**

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE NINETEENTH  
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE**

**PER CURIAM:**

Denied. Applicant shows no violation of the “fair cross-section requirement.” See *State v. Germany*, 20-0878 (La. 11/4/20), 303 So.3d 631. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.