

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2023-KH-01371

VS.

RODRIQUS RAMONE HARRIS

IN RE: Rodriqus Ramone Harris - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish of Caddo, 1st Judicial District Court Number(s) 342,918, Court of Appeal, Second Circuit, Number(s) 55,418-KH;

May 29, 2024


Writ application denied. See per curiam.

WJC
JLW
JDH
JTG
PDG

Crichton, J., recused.

McCallum, J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
May 29, 2024



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 23-KH-1371

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

RODRIQUS RAMONE HARRIS

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CADDO**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). Applicant also fails to show that the state withheld material exculpatory evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.