

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

IN RE: MARK EL-AMM

No. 2024-B-00656


IN RE: Mark El-Amm - Applicant Other; Office of Disciplinary Counsel - Applicant
Other; Joint Petition for Consent Discipline;

June 25, 2024

Joint petition for consent discipline accepted. See per curiam.

JLW
JDH
WJC
PDG

Crichton, J., dissents and would reject the proposed discipline as too lenient.
Genovese, J., dissents and would reject the proposed discipline as too lenient.
McCallum, J., dissents and would reject the proposed discipline as too lenient.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
June 25, 2024


Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2024-B-0656

IN RE: MARK EL-AMM

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

PER CURIAM

The Office of Disciplinary Counsel (“ODC”) commenced an investigation into allegations that respondent mismanaged his client trust account. Prior to the filing of formal charges, respondent and the ODC submitted a joint petition for consent discipline. Having reviewed the petition,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Consent Discipline be accepted and that Mark El-Amm, Louisiana Bar Roll number 34171, be suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months. This suspension shall be deferred in its entirety, subject to a one-year period of supervised probation governed by the conditions set forth in the petition for consent discipline. The probationary period shall commence from the date respondent, the probation monitor, and the ODC execute a formal probation plan. Any failure of respondent to comply with the conditions of probation, or any misconduct during the probationary period, may be grounds for making the deferred suspension executory, or imposing additional discipline, as appropriate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs and expenses in the matter are assessed against respondent in accordance with Supreme Court Rule XIX, § 10.1, with legal interest to commence thirty days from the date of finality of this court’s judgment until paid.