

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2024-KH-00467

VS.

RANDY ANTHONY BROWN

IN RE: Randy Brown - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish
of St. Mary, 16th Judicial District Court Number(s) 2020-206243, Court of Appeal,
First Circuit, Number(s) 2023 KW 1367;

September 04, 2024

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JLW
JDH
SJC
JTK
WJC
JBM
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
September 04, 2024

Katio Marjanovic
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KH-0467

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

RANDY ANTHONY BROWN

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST. MARY**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant’s sentencing claim is not cognizable on collateral review. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.3; *State ex rel. Melinie v. State*, 93-1380 (La. 1/12/96), 665 So.2d 1172. The application is also repetitive. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant’s claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.