

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2024-KH-00650

VS.

JAMES ANTHONY BELL

IN RE: James Anthony Bell - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Lincoln, 3rd Judicial District Court Number(s) 63,133, Court of Appeal,
Second Circuit, Number(s) 55,864-KH;


September 24, 2024

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

WJC
JLW
JDH
SJC
JTK
PDG

McCallum, J., recused.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
September 24, 2024



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KH-0650

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

JAMES ANTHONY BELL

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF LIVINGSTON**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant does not identify an illegal term in his sentence, and therefore, his filing is properly construed as an application for post-conviction relief. See *State v. Parker*, 98-0256 (La. 5/8/98), 711 So.2d 694. As such, it is subject to the time limitation set forth in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. The application was not timely filed in the district court, and applicant fails to carry his burden to show that an exception applies. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8; *State ex rel. Glover v. State*, 93-2330 (La. 9/5/95), 660 So.2d 1189.

Applicant has now fully litigated several applications for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a

successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.

McCallum, J., recused