

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2024-KH-01148

VS.

QUINT MIRE

IN RE: Quint Mire - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ, Parish
of Vermilion, 15th Judicial District Court Number(s) 55,143, Court of Appeal, Third
Circuit, Number(s) KH 23-00458;


December 11, 2024

Writ application granted. See per curiam.

JTK
JLW
JDH
SJC
PDG

Crain, J., dissents.
McCallum, J., dissents.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
December 11, 2024



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KH-1148

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

QUINT MIRE

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF VERMILION**

PER CURIAM:

Writ granted. The court of appeal incorrectly found applicant's original claim pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 1196, 10 L.Ed.2d 215 (1963) was exhausted on appeal. Because the court of appeal remanded applicant's claim(s) relating to the alleged loss or destruction of a reenactment video for an evidentiary hearing, we grant this writ for the purpose of remanding applicant's original *Brady* claim for inclusion and further consideration after an evidentiary hearing. *See Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150, 92 S.Ct. 763, 31 L.Ed.2d 104 (1972) (clarifying that the rule stated in *Brady* applies to evidence undermining witness credibility); *see also Kyles v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419, 441, 115 S.Ct. 1555, 1569, 131 L.Ed.2d 490 (1995) (materiality evaluation done cumulatively, rather than individually).

We additionally remand applicant's claims about counsel's performance for resolution after an evidentiary hearing. In all other respects, the writ is denied.