

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2024-KH-01239

VS.

ERROL WAYNE HICKS


IN RE: Errol Wayne Hicks - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Livingston, 21st Judicial District Court Number(s) 40090, Court of Appeal,
First Circuit, Number(s) 2024 KW 0621;

December 11, 2024

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JDH
JLW
SJC
JTK
WJC
JBM
PDG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
December 11, 2024



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KH-1239

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

ERROL WAYNE HICKS

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF LIVINGSTON**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claims, applicant fails to satisfy his post conviction burden of proof. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.2.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.