

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

ANGELINE LODICE

No. 2024-KP-00673

VS.

STATE OF LOUISIANA


IN RE: Angeline Lodice - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Jefferson, 24th Judicial District Court Number(s) 14-5892, Court of
Appeal, Fifth Circuit, Number(s) 24-KH-126;

January 14, 2025

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JDH
JLW
JTK
WJC
JBM
PDG
JMG

Supreme Court of Louisiana
January 14, 2025



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KP-0673

ANGELINE LODICE

v.

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that she was denied the effective assistance of counsel during plea negotiations under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to her remaining claims, applicant fails to satisfy her post-conviction burden of proof. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.2.

Applicant has now fully litigated her application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless she can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted her right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.