

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2024-KP-00834

VS.

ADAM BATISTE, III

IN RE: Adam Batiste, III - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Writ Of Certiorari, Parish of Lafayette, 15th Judicial District Court Number(s) CR-141203, Court of Appeal, Third Circuit, Number(s) KW 23-00543;

March 18, 2025

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

PDG
JLW
JDH
WJC
JBM
JMG
CRC

Supreme Court of Louisiana
March 18, 2025

Katie Marjanovic
Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 24-KP-0834

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

ADAM BATISTE, III

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF LAFAYETTE**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.