

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

BRITTANY LONDON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
LEGAL GUARDIAN OF HER MINOR
CHILDREN QUINCY DAVIS, JR. AND
BRAELYNN DAVIS, AND SHELIA DAVIS

No. 2025-C-00841

VS.

JAMBALAYA CAPITAL OF THE WORLD-
GONZALES, LOUISIANA INC. DOING
BUSINESS AS THE JAMBALAYA FESTIVAL
ASSOCIATION, THE CITY OF GONZALES,
LOUISIANA AND TROYLING PERKINS

IN RE: Ategrity Specialty Insurance Company - Applicant Other; Applying For Writ
Of Certiorari, Parish of Ascension, 23rd Judicial District Court Number(s) 135,380,
Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2024 CA 1215;

November 19, 2025

Writ application granted. See per curiam.

JMG
JLW
WJC
JBM
CRC

Hughes, J., dissents for the reasons assigned by Griffin, J.
Griffin, J., dissents and assigns reasons.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
November 19, 2025



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 2025-C-00841

**BRITTANY LONDON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS LEGAL GUARDIAN OF
HER MINOR CHILDREN QUINCY DAVIS, JR. AND BRAELYNN DAVIS,
AND SHELIA DAVIS**

VS.

**JAMBALAYA CAPITAL OF THE WORLD-GONZALES, LOUISIANA
INC. DOING BUSINESS AS THE JAMBALAYA FESTIVAL
ASSOCIATION, THE CITY OF GONZALES, LOUISIANA AND
TROYLING PERKINS**

On Writ of Certiorari to the Court of Appeal, First Circuit, Parish of Ascension

PER CURIAM.

Writ granted. The court of appeal erred in holding that the Special Event Participant Exclusion was not applicable to the decedent's participation in a parade. Although the court of appeal relied on the fact that the definitions of the terms "demonstration," "exhibition," and "show" did not include or refer to "parade," the definitions of those terms describe circumstances that most people would find equally applicable to a description of a parade. See Scottsdale Insurance Company v. Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association, A112281, 2006 WL 3826685, at *3 (Cal. Ct. App. Dec. 29, 2006) ("The words 'demonstration' and 'exhibition' ... would certainly be commonly understood to include a parade."). Accordingly, the judgment of the court of appeal is reversed and the judgment of the district court, which granted summary judgment dismissing the third-party demand against Ategrity Insurance Company, is reinstated. We further decree that the dismissal is with prejudice.