

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2025-KH-00416

VS.

BRANDON CURTIS MCLAUGHLIN


IN RE: Brandon Curtis McLaughlin - Applicant Defendant; Applying For
Supervisory Writ, Parish of Caddo, 1st Judicial District Court Number(s) 367,253,
Court of Appeal, Second Circuit, Number(s) 56,322-KH;

September 24, 2025

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

JLW
JDH
WJC
JBM
PDG
JMG
CRC

Supreme Court of Louisiana
September 24, 2025



Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 25-KH-0416

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

BRANDON CURTIS McLAUGHLIN

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF CADDO**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). In addition, the prosecutorial misconduct claim is barred under La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4(B).

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.