

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No. 2025-KP-00007

VS.

D'KERIAN THOMPSON


IN RE: D'Kerian Thompson - Applicant Defendant; Applying For Supervisory Writ,
Parish of Tangipahoa, 21st Judicial District Court Number(s) CR-1701099, Court of
Appeal, First Circuit, Number(s) 2024 KW 0857;

October 07, 2025

Writ application denied. See per curiam.

WJC
JLW
JBM
JMG
CRC

Hughes, J., would grant.
Griffin, J., would grant.

Supreme Court of Louisiana
October 07, 2025


Chief Deputy Clerk of Court
For the Court

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 25-KP-0007

STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

D'KERIAN THOMPSON

**ON SUPERVISORY WRITS TO THE TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF TANGIPAHOA**

PER CURIAM:

Denied. Applicant fails to show that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the standard of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984). As to his remaining claims, he fails to meet his post-conviction burden of proof and/or the claims should have been pursued earlier. La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.2; La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4.

Applicant has now fully litigated his application for post-conviction relief in state court. Similar to federal habeas relief, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2244, Louisiana post-conviction procedure envisions the filing of a second or successive application only under the narrow circumstances provided in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.4 and within the limitations period as set out in La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. Notably, the legislature in 2013 La. Acts 251 amended that article to make the procedural bars against successive filings mandatory. Applicant's claims have now been fully litigated in accord with La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.6, and this denial is final. Hereafter, unless he can show that one of the narrow exceptions authorizing the filing of a successive application applies, applicant has exhausted his right to state collateral review. The district court is ordered to record a minute entry consistent with this per curiam.